

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

<b>FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL FORM</b>	Application Number	10/714053	<b>RECEIVED</b> <b>CENTRAL FAX CENTER</b> <b>JUN 30 2006</b>
	Confirmation Number	3183	
	Filing Date	November 14, 2003	
	First Named Inventor	Benson, Karl E.	
	Examiner Name	Fiona Powers	
Fax: 571-273-8300	Attorney Docket Number	59068US002	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission: 4			
Date: June 30, 2006		Attorney for Applicant: Jean A. Lown	

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Issue Fee Transmittal <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment Transmittal	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/Declaration(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert a Provisional Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Technology Center (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
<input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation	<input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information
<input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address	<input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement	<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosures: - Response to Restriction Requirement
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR § 1.52 or 1.53 <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 35 USC 371 in US Designated/ Elected Office (DO/EO/US)	<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Continued Examination (RCE) Transmittal	
<input type="checkbox"/> Drawings	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to Technology Center	
<b>REMARKS:</b>		

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION  
MAY CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL OR LEGALLY PRIVILEGED INFORMATION  
INTENDED ONLY FOR THE PERSON OR ENTITY NAMED BELOW.

If you are not the intended recipient, please do not read, use, disclose, distribute or copy this transmission.  
If this transmission was received in error, please immediately notify me by telephone directly at 651-733-3169 or 651-733-1500, and we will arrange for its return at no cost to you.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

32692

Customer Number

Patent  
Case No.: 59068US002

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**RECEIVED**  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 30 2006

First Named Inventor: BENSON, KARL E.

Application No.: 10/714053

Confirmation No.: 3183

Filed: November 14, 2003

Title: N-SULFONYLDICARBOXIMIDE CONTAINING TETHERING COMPOUNDS

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENTMail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR § 1.8(a)]

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:

☐ deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.☒ transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at 571-273-8300.June 30, 2006  
DateTori K. Hanson  
Signed by: Tori K. Hanson

Dear Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action mailed June 21, 2006. Claims 1 to 36 are pending. Claims 1 to 36 were restricted under 35 USC § 121 as follows:

- I. Claims 1 to 8 are said to be drawn to compounds of Formula I, classified in class 546 and 548, various subclasses;
- II. Claims 9-12 are said to be drawn to compounds of Formula II, classified in class 546 and 548, various subclasses;
- III. Claims 13 to 21 are said to be drawn to an article comprising a compound of Formula I, classified in class 435, various subclasses;
- IV. Claims 22 to 30 are said to be drawn to an article comprising compounds of Formula II, classified in class 435, various subclasses;
- V. Claims 31 to 33 are said to be drawn to a method of immobilizing an amine containing material using compounds of Formula I, classified in class 435, various subclasses; and
- VI. Claims 34 to 36 are said to be drawn to a method of immobilizing an amine containing material using compounds of Formula II, classified in class 435, various subclasses.

Application No.: 10/714053

Case No.: 59068US002

### Election

In response, Applicants elect Group I, with traverse.

Reconsideration and withdrawal or modification of the restriction requirement is respectfully requested.

Applicants submit that the Groups I, III, and V claims are so interrelated that a search of one group of claims will reveal art to the other groups. Applicants further submit that the Groups II, IV, and VI are so interrelated that a search of one group of claims will reveal art of the other groups.

Were restriction to be effected between the claims in Groups I, III, and V, a separate examination of the claims in these groups would require substantial duplication of work on the part of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Even though some additional consideration would be necessary, the scope of analysis of novelty of all the claims of Groups I, III, and V have to be as rigorous as when only the claims of Group I were being considered by themselves. Clearly, this duplication of effort would not be warranted where these claims of different categories are so interrelated. Further, Groups III and V are classified by the Examiner as being in the same class. Applicants submit that for restriction to be effected between the claims in Groups I, III, and V it would place an undue burden by requiring payment of a separate filing fee for examination of the nonelected claims, as well as the added costs associated with prosecuting three applications and maintaining three patents.

Similarly, were restriction to be effected between the claims in Groups II, IV, and VI, a separate examination of the claims in these groups would require substantial duplication of work on the part of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Even though some additional consideration would be necessary, the scope of analysis of novelty of all the claims of Groups II, IV, and VI have to be as rigorous as when only the claims of Group II were being considered by themselves. Clearly, this duplication of effort would not be warranted where these claims of different categories are so interrelated. Further, Groups IV and VI are classified by the Examiner as being in the same class. Applicants submit that for restriction to be effected between the claims in Groups II, IV, and VI it would place an undue burden by requiring payment of a separate filing fee for examination of the nonelected claims, as well as the added costs associated with prosecuting three applications and maintaining three patents.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Application No.: 10/714053

Case No.: 59068US002

Conclusion

Applicants have elected Group I. Continued prosecution of this application is respectfully requested.

It is believed that no fee is due; however, in the event a fee is required, please charge the fee to Deposit Account No. 13-3723. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the indicated telephone number with questions that can be resolved with a simple teleconference.

Respectfully submitted,

June 28, 2006  
Date

By: Jean A. Lown  
Jean A. Lown, Reg. No.: 48,428  
Telephone No.: 651-733-3169

Office of Intellectual Property Counsel  
3M Innovative Properties Company  
Facsimile No.: 651-736-3833